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## Resona Group at a Glance

■ Focus management resources on retail banking business
■ Solid base in Tokyo and Kansai metropolitan areas where economic activities/population are concentrated ■ Strong branch network of manned offices comparable to megabank groups


## Resona Group's Franchise

■ Prefectures where Resona's franchise is concentrated are comparable to some nations in terms of GDP
■ Resona's franchise covers approximately $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of Japan in terms of population and GDP

(1) Source: Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Population estimates (As of October 1st, 2010)
(2) Source: Cabinet Office, Government of Japan, Gross Prefecture Product FY2008 "Global comparison of gross prefecture product in dollar"

## Resona Group's Strengths

- Loans to SMEs and individuals account for over $80 \%$ of total loans. Interest margin higher relative to peers

■ Expense ratio lower than industry average owing to efficient management through operational reform


[^0]10 largest regional bank groups: 10 largest regional bank groups in terms of consolidated total assets (Fukuoka FG, Yokohama, Hokuhoku FG, Chiba, Shizuoka, Yamaguchi FG, Joyo, Nishinippon City,

## Sound Balance Sheet

## Sound assets backed by very stable deposit funding

[Sound loan portfolio]

- Well-diversified Ioan portfolio
$>$ Housing loan ratio at 46.7\%
$>$ SME portfolio well-diversified into 90 thousands clients
- Net NPL ratio standing at $0.44 \%$
[Conservative securities portfolio]
- Mostly comprised of JGBs
>JGBs duration as of Sep. 30, 2011 : 2.1 years
(JGBs in Available-for-sale securities)
- Limited downside risk relating to equity exposure
>Stockholdings/Total assets : approx. 0.82\%
>Breakeven Nikkei Avg:Y7,100 level
- No exposure to the U.S. sub-primerelated assets

RHD's consolidated balance sheet
(As of Sep. 30, 2011)
Loans and bills discounted

Y25.5 trillion

[Stable funding structure]

- Strong retail deposit base
$>13$ million retail deposit accounts
$>$ Accounts for approx. 70\% of total deposit funding
- Funding cost kept at a low level
$>$ Avg. cost of deposits: 0.09\%
$>$ Low-cost liquidity deposits account for approx. 60\% of total deposit funding
- Very limited dependence on inter-bank funding or securitization
- Ratio of loans and bills discounted to total deposits: approx. 80\%
[Well capitalized on a regulatory basis]
Capital adequacy ratio: 12.47\%
Tier 1 ratio: 8.67\%
- Ratio of Net DTA to Tier 1: 10.71\%


Risk-weighted Assets: (RWA)

## Y42.7 trillion

(100\%)

Y17.7 trillion
(F-IRB under Basel II)

## RWA/TAA Multiple:

x 0.41 times

## Stable Profitability

- Resona has consistently generated stable profits (positive net profit even through the Lehman crisis)
- This has been helped by minimized stockholdings and no investments in sub-prime related assets
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## Consolidated Net Income for 1-3Q FY2011 Compared with 1-3Q FY2010

## Y16.4 bn increase in consolidated income before income taxes and minority interests



## Operating Results for the 1-3Q FY2011 (9 Months from April to December 2011) (Total of Group Banks, Non-consolidated Basis)

| Financial Results | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1-3Q } \\ \text { FY2011 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 Q \\ \text { FY2010 } \end{gathered}$ | Change | Rate of Progress ${ }^{4}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Operating Profit | 446.1 bn | 451.6 bn | (1.2) \% | 73.1 \% | - Gross operating profit decreased by 1.2\% YoY. |
| Net interest income | 342.0 bn | 352.2 bn | (2.8) \% | - | - Net interest income decreased primarily due to a decline in loan-to-deposit spread. |
| Interest Income from loans and deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 305.8 bn | 318.5 bn | (3.9) \% | - | - As for fee income, income from Investment trust sale declined by Y1.7bn YoY, while income from sale of |
| Fees and Commission Income ${ }^{2}$ | 68.6 bn | 67.6 bn | +1.5 \% | - | insurance products ( +Y 0.9 bn YoY ) and real estate business( +Y 1.1 bn YoY) were in good shape. |
| Other Operating Income | 35.3 bn | 31.7 bn | +11.3 \% | - | Net gains on bonds (including futures) were Y12.7bn, declined by Y8.6bn YoY. |
| Operating Expenses | (251.7) bn | (256.3) bn | +1.7\% | 73.5 \% | Operating expenses for 1-3Q declined by Y4.5bn YoY and Y3.Obn lower than the plan. |
| Actual Net Operating Profit ${ }^{3}$ | 194.3 bn | 195.3 bn | (0.5)\% | 72.5 \% | Y6.1bn of net losses on stocks were posted primarily due to losses from investments in ETFs. |
| Net Gains/(Losses) on Stocks | (6.1) bn | (3.5) bn | (74.8)\% | - | Net credit expenses were significantly lower than the plan owing to a continued gain from reversal of |
| Credit Expenses, Net | 0.5 bn | (9.8) bn | - | - | general reserve driven by a decline in expected loan loss ratio |
| Income before income taxes | 189.2 bn | 183.5 bn | +3.0 \% | 89.2 \% | DTA write-down of Y11.8bn was posted in relation to the tax reform to be implemented |
| Net Income | 147.8 bn | 130.3 bn | +13.4 \% | 91.2 \% | Registered a net income of Y147.8bn with a progress rate to the full-year forecast at $91.2 \%$. |
| Asset Quality | Dec. 2011 | Dec. 2010 |  |  | Comments |
| NPL Ratio | 2.57 \% | 2.62 \% | ■ NPL ratio kept around 2.5\%. . <br> ■ Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities :Y68.1 bn |  |  |
| Net Unrealized Gains on Available-for-sale Securities | 68.1 bn | 107.2 bn |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Gross Operating Profits for 1-3Q FY2011 Compared with 1-3Q FY2010 (Total of Group Banks)

Top-line income decreased by Y5.5 bn mainly due to decline in net interest income


Resona Holdings, Inc.

## Trend of Loan/Deposit Rates and Spread (Total of Group Banks)


(Domestic banking account)

## Trend of Housing Loan Business (Total of Group Banks)

## Trend of Housing Loan Origination



Trend of Housing Loan Balance


Trend of Investment Product Sale Business (Total of Group Banks)



Credit Costs and NPL (Total of Group Banks)



- Responses to obligors who already formulated or are preparing a "feasible" and "drastic" turnaround plan
> Specialized division examines and approves such a plan > Monitor progress of the plan every three months and determine an obligor category
- Loan loss reserves against obligors preparing such a plan > Use the same coverage ratio as applied to "special attention" obligors

|  | Obligor <br> classification | Criteria for <br> providing loan <br> loss reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Housing Loan


*1. Rate of subrogation repayment by loan guarantee subsidiaries
*2. Subrogation ratio $x$ (1-collection rate after subrogation)

## Earnings Forecasts for FY2011 (Released on November 11, 2011)

|  | Resona Holdings (Consolidated) |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1H FY'11 <br> (Actual) | Full Year <br> Forecast | Change from <br> original <br> forecast | Change from <br> previous year |
| Consolidated ordinary income | 453.2 | $\mathbf{8 8 0 . 0}$ | 50.0 | 20.2 |
| Consolidated ordinary profit | 156.6 | $\mathbf{2 3 5 . 0}$ | 45.0 | 24.8 |
| Net (interim) income | 128.2 | $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 0}$ | 20.0 | 10.0 |

Forecast of capital adequacy ratio

| Upper <br> half of $11 \%$ |
| :---: |

(Billions of yen)

|  | Reso | Holdings ( | on-cons | lidated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1H FY'11 (Actual) | Full Year Forecast | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \begin{array}{c} \text { original } \\ \text { forecast } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change from previous y ear |
| Operating income | 80.0 | 158.0 | 8.0 | 126.7 |
| Operating profit | 76.1 | 150.0 | 10.0 | 124.0 |
| Ordinary profit | 76.2 | 150.0 | 10.0 | 126.7 |
| Net (interim) income | 76.2 | 150.0 | 10.0 | 123.8 |
| Forecast for term-end per share dividend on common stock ${ }^{\text {+1 }}$ |  |  | 12 yen |  |
| Forecast for term-end per share dividend on preferred stock ${ }^{* 1}$ |  |  | As pre-determined |  |


|  | Total of 3 | roup bank | ks (approx | figure) |  | esona Ban |  | Saitam | ma Resona | Bank | Kink | i Osaka Ba |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1H FY'11 <br> (Actual) | Full Year Forecast | Change from original forecast | Change from previous y ear | Full Year Forecast | Change from <br> original <br> forecast | Change from previous y ear | Full Year Forecast | Change from <br> original <br> forecast | Change from previous y ear | Full Year Forecast | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { original } \\ \text { forecast } \end{array}$ | Change from previous y ear |
| Gross operating profit | 309.4 | 610.0 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 408.0 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 148.0 | (1.0) | (0.3) | 54.0 | (2.0) | (2.6) |
| Operating expenses | (170.0) | (342.0) | 2.0 | 3.6 | (225.0) | 1.0 | 2.5 | (76.5) | 0.5 | (0.3) | (41.0) | - | 0.8 |
| Actual net operating profit | 139.3 | 268.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 183.0 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 71.5 | (0.5) | (0.5) | 13.0 | (2.0) | (1.7) |
| Ordinary Profit | 144.2 | 217.0 | 35.0 | 24.0 | 150.0 | 31.0 | 16.2 | 62.0 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| Income before income taxes | 142.6 | 212.0 | 12.0 | (5.7) | 146.0 | 10.0 | (9.3) | 61.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 4.5 |  | 0.7 |
| Net (interim) income | 122.2 | 162.0 | 17.0 | 11.5 | Resona Group adopts a consolidated taxation system. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net gain on stocks | 0.3 | - |  | 1.7 | - | - | 1.9 | - | - | 0.1 |  |  | (0.4) |
| Credit related expenses | 0.4 | (52.0) | 7.0 | (15.2) | (37.0) | 3.0 | (19.5) | (7.5) | 2.5 | 3.2 | (7.0) | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Forecast of capital adequacy ratio | <Consolidated $>$ $<$ Non-consolidated $>$ <br> Upper <br> half of $11 \%$ Upper <br> half of $11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Management Strategies / Capital Policies and ROE Target

| Management Strategies |  | Capital Policies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Metro Area-b | ased Super Regional Bank | \#1 | Preventing Dilution |
| - Dual focuses $\Rightarrow$ "retail" and " 2 metro areas" <br> - Commercial bank with a trust capability <br> - Strong relationship with individual and SME clients |  | - Intend to repurchase DIC preferred stock with retained earnings <br> $\Rightarrow$ Conversion into common shares is not an option |  |
| \#2 Efforts to | trengthen Cross-selling | \#2 | Return to Common Shareholders |
| - Cross-selling on top of the established customer base and variety of functions <br> - Diversification and sustainable growth of earnings |  | - Maintain 12 yen per common share annual dividends <br> - Repurchase of DIC PS with retained earnings $\Rightarrow$ Reduced potential shares would mean an indirect return to common shareholders |  |
| \#3 Effic | ent Cost Structure | \#3 | Capital Adequacy Ratio Management |
| - Consolidated group management <br> - Overcome high cost structure inherent in retail banking business |  | - Maintain adequate CAR as a domestic bank <br> - Take into account the International Standard as a benchmark |  |
| Level of Targeted Sustainable ROE | Achieve a 10\% return on CET1 on a sustainable basis |  |  |
|  | - Build earnings and capital structures that could yield a 10\% return on Common Equity Tier 1 Capital on a sustainable basis |  |  |

## Metro Area-based Super Regional Bank

Financial group that can benefit the most from the prevailing tidal change in the market


- Over Y500 trillion will be handed over to next generations in ten years




■ Community-based operation and friendliness
(Year) 200920102011201220132014201520162017201820192020
*1. Total estimated assets to be inherited (Source: Nomura Institute of Capital Markets Research)
ख Resona Holdings, Inc.

## Efforts to Strengthen Cross-selling: Marketing Innovation



## Efforts to Strengthen Cross-selling: "Vertical Cross-selling"


(1) Resona Holdings, Inc.

## Efforts to Strengthen Cross-selling: Cross-selling Leveraging Trust Capabilities

| Group-wide Campaign to Strengthen Cross-selling <br> Leveraging Trust Capabilities |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goals | ■ Incentivise promotion of trust products <br> ■ Learn know-how to propose trust solutions |
|  | Assign promotion points (1 to 10P each) <br> to 24 trust products and solutions |
| Outline <br> (RB) | Each business division is requested to <br> acquire at least 6 points in FY2011 |
|  | ■1 additional point is given per one more <br> different item handled |

## Achievements in 1H FY2011 at Resona Bank

- Business divisions participated in the campaign handled 2,024 trust products and solutions
- 78\% of the business divisions earned more than what they should in a full-year (=6 points)

| Trust products and solutions covered |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ■ Will trust <br> - Estate division <br> ■ Trust for transfer of own company stocks <br> - Trust for asset transfer <br> ■ Condo repair reserve trust | - Corporate pension (DC) <br> - Corporate pension (DB) <br> ■ "Power of Trust" <br> - Real estate brokerage <br> - ESOP and 14 other items |

Examples of Achievements in Trust Cross-selling

- "Power of Trust" (Money trust with performance-based return)
- Cumulative amount sold (\#1 to \#5 funds) Y15.8 bn sold to 1,960 investors
- Expanded customers purchasing investment products
- Will trust ${ }^{* 1}$

- Number of companies adopting DC pension scheme ${ }^{* 1,2}$


[^2]
## Efficient Cost Structure: Trend of Personnel and Non-Personnel Expense (Total of Group Banks)

■ Reduced Y 743.3 bn on a cumulative basis for the past 8 years through reduction of systems expense and rationalization measures such as elimination and consolidation of overlapping branches, etc.

- Strictly controlled personnel expenses including the cost associated with hiring temporary staffs


[^3]
## Efficient Cost Structure:

## Operational Reform in Deposit and Domestic Exchange Business (RB)

Operational reforms are close to perfection with the new branch management system in place


[^4]
## Efficient Cost Structure: Branch Office Reform and Next-generation Branch Management System

Former branch office layout and transaction style


Passbook, seal and slip "troublesome" "worrisome"


<Financial Benefits to be Realized>

| Investment | Y16.7bn |
| :---: | :---: |
| Estimated cost reduction | Apx. Y2.6bn/Year |
|  |  |

## Efficient Cost Structure: Housing Loan Back Office Processing Reform

Formulated the following basic concept to embark on a new reform initiative

| Slogan |
| :---: |
| Halve clerical work, double processing speed |
| -- Loan Plaza (LP) redefined as a place |
| for sales activities -- |
| Challenges |
| - Establish firm advantage in clerical work cost |
| - Establish more reliable processing capabilities |
| - Cross-selling capabilities |

Goals (From 2H FY2011 to FY2014)

- Next-generation Loan Plaza (LP)
- All existing LPs upgraded to Next-generation LP
- Introduce Next-generation Housing Loan Processing System to all LPs and HL Centers
- Reduce housing loan clerical work volume by $50 \%$ (Mar. 2014 vs Mar. 2011)


## [New processing method] Next-generation LPs and HL Centers

| Application screening |  | Contract/Execution |  | Credit administration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reception | Data entry | Contract | Execution |  |
| - Scan all application documents <br> - Data entry based on scanned images) |  | - Explain cont communicat (on PC scree | using a new | - Further integration of clerical work <br> - Information indispensable for credit administration is available from the database |
| Each application data piled up as database |  |  |  |  |
| <DB> Enhanced data sharing / retrievability and paperless operation |  |  |  |  |

## Supports Extended to SMEs to Do Business in Asia



- New regional coverage to offer local information
- Vietnam (RB: Mar. 2011)
$\Rightarrow$ Dispatched personnel to Ho Chi Minh Branch of an alliance partner bank
- India (RB: New Delhi, KO: Chennai, Oct. 2011) $\Rightarrow$ Dispatched personnel to JETRO's local offices


## Local Services Offered through Alliances

- Large number of branches and local expertise
- Ability to provide local service without being regulated as a foreign bank

| China | Bank of East Asia, Bank of China, China Construction Bank, <br> Industrial and Commercial Bank of China, <br> Bank of Communications |
| :---: | :--- |
| Hong Kong | Bank of East Asia <br> South Korea |
| Kaiwan | Korea Exchange Bank |
| Singapore | Mega International Commercial Bank |
| Malaysia | Bablic Bast Asia |
| Thailand, <br> Vietnam | Bangkok Bank |
| India | State Bank of India |

## Recent Initiatives to Strengthen Supports

- Asia Business Promotion Center (Oct. 2010)
- Number of consultations handled by ABPC

- Special Fund to Assist SMEs to Do Business in Asia (Oct. 2010)
- Y15.1bn extended to 67 clients from Jan to Sep 2011
- Assistance to clients suffering from flood damage in Thailand
- Created a Y20bn special fund to assist reconstruction efforts (Oct. 2010)
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## Change in Composition of Resona HD's Total Equity (From Mar. 31, 2010 to Sep. 30, 2011)

## Improved the quality of capital significantly by implementing Resona Capital Restructuring Plan


*1. Equity attributable to common stock at year-end / Number of common shares excluding treasury shares at year-end
(3) Resona Holdings, Inc.

## Approaches for Repaying the Remaining Public Funds

- The balance of injected public funds was reduced to almost one fourth of the peak amount in September 2003
- Intend to repay the remaining public funds as early as possible via the following approaches

| Details of Public Funds*1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Y bn) | Amount 2003/9 (A) | Amount 2011/3 (B) | Amount Repaid (B) - (A) | Policy and approaches for future repayment |
| Class C | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | The increase in outstanding common shares upon mandatory |
| Class F | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | conversion is expected to be minimal, since almost equivalent shares that could arise from mandatory conversion of Class C |
| RCC Subtotal | 868.0 | 160.0 | (708.0) | the market and are being held as treasury shares |
| Class 1 | 550.0 | - | (550.0) | - Intend to repay the remaining balance through further accumulation of profits (retained earnings) |
| Class 2 | 563.5 | - | (563.5) | - Aiming for full repayment within 5 years given current profit trends |
| Class 3 | 550.0 | 450.0 | (100.0) | - However, the timing of future repayments will take into account capital adequacy regulations, etc. and will be |
| DIC Subtotal | 1,663.5 | 450.0 | $(1,213.5)$ | executed in an appropriate and flexible manner <br> - Combined retained earnings as of Sep 30, 2011: Y910.1 bn |
| Total Preferred | 2,531.5 | 610.0 | $(1,921.5)$ |  |
| Common Stock | 296.4 | 261.6 | (34.7) | - Current priority is on repayment of DIC preferred stock <br> - No current plans to apply for a secondary offering of DIC-held common stock |
| Total Public Funds Remaining | 3,128.0 | 871.6 | (2,256.3) |  |
| *1 Figures reflect initial invested amounts |  |  |  |  |

## Direction of Resona's Future Capital Policies

## Capital Adequacy Ratio Management

- Remain subject to the Japanese Domestic Standard
- However, in order to secure reliable capital strength, the Group operates its business with a high capital adequacy ratio, taking reference to the International Standard
- Intend to keep the following ratios while repayment [Based on BIII]


| [Trial calculation as of Sep 30, 2011] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Around 7\% | $\mathbf{8 . 5 \%}{ }^{* 1}$ |
| Around 5.5\% | $\mathbf{6 . 7 \%}{ }^{* 1}$ |

*. Preferred stocks subscribed with public funds are included in CET1 and T1. Deduction items are not considered since a phase-in rule applies. Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities are not taken into account.

## Prevention of Dilution

- Intend to repurchase and cancel the remaining DIC preferred stock with retained earnings. (Conversion into common shares is not an option.)

```
Two drivers
to enhance
    common
shareholders
    value
```


## Sustained EPS growth and resultant increase in BPS

Reduction of potential shares through repurchase of DIC preferred shares

## Dividend Policy

- Maintain 12 yen per share common dividends for the time being
- Plan to clarify dividend policy, including a target level for the dividend payout ratio, after completing the repayment of the DIC preferred shares



## ROE Target

- Intend to build earnings and capital structures that could yield a $10 \%$ return on CET1 on a sustainable basis



## Data of Business Results for 1H of FY2011

Reference Material

## Factors Accounting for the Change in Consolidated Net Interim Income



[^5]
## Outline of Financial Results for 1H of FY2011

|  | Resona Holdings(Consolidated) |  | Difference$(\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})$ | Total of group banks (Non-consolidated) <br> (B) YoY chabge |  | Resona <br> (Non-consolidated | Saitama Resona | Kinki Osaka <br> (Non-consolidated | Factors accounting for the difference(A)-(B) <br> (Approx. figures) <br> RC: Resona Card, RG: Resona Guarantee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross operating profit | 337.8 | (6.8) | 28.4 | 309.4 | (4.1) | 209.7 | 72.9 | 26.6 |  |
| (1) Net interest income | 234.4 | (8.9) | 4.9 | 229.4 | (7.1) | 142.3 | 64.4 | 22.7 | RC 2.3 bn and other |
| Income from loans and deposits (domestic operation) |  |  |  | 204.0 | (8.2) | 127.9 | 55.4 | 20.5 | Domestic operations; Banking account; Deposits include NCDs |
| (2) Trust fees | 12.2 | (0.9) |  | 12.2 | (0.9) | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| (3) Fees and commission income | 61.9 | 1.6 | 23.1 | 38.7 | 2.5 | 28.2 | 7.1 | 3.3 | RG 14.6 bn, RC 7.7 bn and other |
| (4) Other operating income | 29.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 28.9 | 1.4 | 26.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 |  |
| Actual net operating profit |  |  |  | 139.3 | (1.9) | 97.8 | 35.1 | 6.3 | Net operating profit before transfer to general reserve for possible loan losses and expenses related to problem loan desposal in the trust account |
| Operating expenses (including non-recurring items) | (181.4) | 2.6 | (9.6) | (171.8) | 2.4 | (112.1) | (38.6) | (21.0) | RC (6.2) bn, RG (1.8) bn and other |
| Net gain on stocks | 0.2 | 6.9 | (0.0) | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | (0.1) | (0.0) |  |
| Credit related expenses, net | (6.7) | 25.5 | (7.1) | 0.4 | 18.5 | 4.3 | (1.6) | (2.2) | RG (5.0) bn, RC (2.2) bn and other |
| Other gain/(loss), net | 5.2 | (3.0) | 0.9 | 4.3 | (2.5) | 3.8 | 0.5 | (0.1) |  |
| Income before income taxes | 155.1 | 25.2 | 12.4 | 142.6 | 21.4 | 106.3 | 33.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Income taxes and other | (26.9) | 21.2 | (6.4) | (20.4) | 24.1 | (12.2) | (13.2) | 5.0 | Minority interests in net income (2.0) bn, Income tax of RHD and other (4.4) bn |
| Net interim income | 128.2 | 46.4 | 6.0 | 122.2 | 45.5 | 94.1 | 19.8 | 8.2 | RG 4.5 bn, RC 0.9 bn |

## Analysis on YoY Change in Top Line Income (Total of Group Banks)

Gross operating profits declined by Y4.1 bn YoY due to a decline in net gains on bonds and other factors


Management Accounting by Business Lines (1H FY2011)

## Management accounting by group business lines

■ "RAROC" and "RVA"*1 as management indicators to measure profitability to allocated capital

| Resona Group Business Segments | Profitability |  |  |  | Soundness | Net operating profit after a deduction of credit cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net profit after a deduction of cost on capital |  | Riskadjusted capital | Cost to income ratio | Internal CAR | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { YoY } \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Actual net operating profit |  |  |  |  |  | Credit cost |  |
|  | RVA $^{* 1}$ (Actual) |  | RAROC (Actual) | OHR |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { YoY } \\ \text { Change } \end{array}$ | Gross op profit | Yorating <br> Change | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Operating } \\ \text { expense } \end{array}$ | YoY |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { YoY } \\ \text { Change } \end{array}$ |
| Non-treasury | 64.4 | 33.4 | 12.5\% | 58.8\% | 11.2\% | 112.3 | 24.2 | 117.2 | (1.4) | 284.5 | (3.6) | (167.3) | 2.2 | (4.9) | 25.6 |
| Personal Banking | 36.4 | 10.8 | 16.0\% | 60.9\% | 12.3\% | 54.7 | 8.4 | 60.0 | (1.0) | 153.5 | (5.0) | (93.5) | 4.0 | (5.3) | 9.4 |
| Corporate Banking | 23.1 | 23.0 | 9.5\% | 56.0\% | 10.6\% | 52.6 | 16.1 | 52.1 | (0.0) | 118.5 | 1.9 | (66.4) | (1.9) | 0.4 | 16.2 |
| Trust | 4.9 | (0.3) | 202.9\% | 59.5\% | 10.6\% | 5.0 | (0.4) | 5.0 | (0.4) | 12.5 | (0.5) | (7.4) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Treasury | 25.5 | (7.5) | 50.8\% | 13.7\% | 13.5\% | 28.6 | (8.3) | 28.6 | (8.3) | 33.1 | (8.2) | (4.5) | (0.1) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 86.6 | 30.4 | 12.9\% | 52.9\% | 13.5\% | 148.1 | 26.0 | 153.0 | 0.4 | 324.8 | (1.7) | (171.8) | 2.2 | (4.9) | 25.6 |

[^6]
## Trend of Loan and Deposit (Total of Group Banks)



## Trend of Housing Loans (Total of Group Banks)

Trend of housing loan origination


## Trend of HL yield and composition by interest rate type

- Increase in variable rate loans
$\Rightarrow$ Bigger room for income upside when policy rate rises

| 100\% | 2.36\% | 2.17\% | 2.03\% | = Share of fixed rate loans <br> - Share of variable rate loans <br> $\rightarrow-H L$ yield (right scale) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1.95 \%$ | $\xrightarrow{1.91}$ | $1.85 \%$ | 2\% |
|  | 40\% | 35\% | 30\% | 27\% | 25\% | 23\% |  |
|  | 60\% | 65\% | 70\% | 73\% | 75\% | 77\% |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { '09/3 } \\ \text { FY2008 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { '09/9 } \\ \text { FY! } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 3 \\ & \hline 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { '10/9 } \\ \text { FY } \end{gathered}$ | '11/3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { '11/9 } \\ \text { FY2011 } \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Term-end balance of housing loans

$(\mathrm{Y} \operatorname{tn})$ Proper housing loans $\mathbb{H}$ Flat $35 \rightarrow$ Housing loan ratio (right scale)

Indices to measure soundness

- Maintained soundness by adopting stringent screening criteria
50\%
45\%


*1. Principal repayment and interests in a year / Pre-tax annual income (\%) (RB + SR)

| Subrogation payment <br> ratio ${ }^{2}$ | Net loss ratio*3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| In the range of $0.4 \%$ | Kept at a low level <br> of 0.1 to $0.2 \%$ |

[^7]*3. Subrogation ratio $\times$ ( 1 - collection rate after subrogation)

## Trend of Major Fee Businesses



Credit Costs and NPL


## Comparison: NPL coverage and ratio of loan loss

 reserve against normal claimsProtection against Disclosed NPL


## Comparison: Gross and net NPL ratio



## Responses to obligors requiring assistance for business turnaround

- Responses to obligors who already formulated or are preparing a "feasible" and "drastic" turnaround plan
> Specialized division examines and approves such a plan
$>$ Monitor progress of the plan every three months and determine an obligor category
- Loan loss reserves against obligors preparing such a plan > Use the same coverage ratio as applied to "special attention" obligors

| obligors | Obligor classification | Criteria for providing loan loss reserves | Coverage ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligors who are preparing a turnaround plan | Other watch | Special attention | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx. } \\ \text { 60\% } \end{gathered}$ |
| Obligors who already formulated a turnaround plan |  | Other watch | Approx 50\% |
| (Coverage ratio against all "special attention" obligors: 60.59\% |  |  |  |

Securities Portfolio (1) (RHD Consolidated)

## Securities portfolio with reduced downside risks



| Bonds held to maturity *2 | 2.5 | (3) | 148.4 | 1,087.2 | 1,667.9 | 1,863.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized gains/(losses) | 0.0 |  | (0.3) | 24.8 | 24.5 | 46.4 |

1. Acquisition cost basis
*2. Balance sheet amount basis

## 【Factors for change】

(1) Significant reduction during the Intensive Revitalization Period
(2) Unwound net investment position in response to poor market visibility
(3) Increased bonds held to maturity to secure stable income

## Relationship-purpose equity holdings

## Stocks

- Impairment loss on stocks held for 1H FY2011: Y1.7 bn
- Reduced relationship-purpose stock holdings by approx. Y1 tn on an acquisition cost basis from the level in March 2003
- Continue efforts to reduce the balance further


## Net investment portfolio

- JGB
- Average duration : 2.1 years*, BPV: Y1.52 bn* * JGBs held as "available-for-sale securities" (Total of group banks)
- Balance of floating-rate JGBs: Y402.4 bn

Other (Total of group banks)

- Foreign securities include Y91.4 bn of U.S. treasuries
- No direct investments in bonds issued by entities in GIIPS countries
Indirect exposure is also minimal (Approx. Y0.3 bn)
- All securitized products held were organized in Japan and $96 \%$ of them are backed by housing loans originated in Japan
[Securitized products by underlying assets]

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Securitized Products | 173.2 |
| RMBS | 166.0 |
| CMBS | 5.3 |
| Other | 1.9 |

## Securities Portfolio (2) (RHD Consolidated)

Net unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities available for sale

■ Net unrealized gain as of Sep. 30, 2011: Y64.0 bn
■ Floating-rate JGB consistently marked to market prices

- Net unrealized loss on floating-rate JGB : Y2.6 bn
- [Reference] Net unrealized gain based on theoretical prices computed for an administrative purpose: Y6.8 bn
■ Net unrealized gain (loss)


Ratio of stockholdings to total assets

■ Break-even Nikkei Average : Approx. 7,100 yen
■ $\quad \beta$ vis-à-vis Nikkei Average: Approx. 0.8

- Stockholdings (acquisition cost) to total assets: 0.82\%
- Historical Stockholdings to total assets



## Capital Adequacy Ratio (RHD Consolidated)

$■$ RHD's consolidated CAR [Mar. 31, 2011] 11.21\% $\Rightarrow$ [Sep. 30, 2011] 12.47\% (+1.26\%)

| Capital adequacy ratio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Capital Adequacy Ratio (Basel II, F-IRB basis) |  |  |  |
|  | (Billions of Yen, \%) |  |  |
|  | Mar. 31, 2011 | Sep. 30, 2011 | Change |
| Capital adequacy ratio | 11.21 | 12.47 | 1.26 |
| Tier 1 ratio | 7.51 | 8.67 | 1.16 |
| Total qualifying capital | 2,119.0 | 2,208.8 | 89.8 |
| Tier 1 | 1,418.9 | 1,536.6 | 117.7 |
| Capital stock, capital surplus and retained earnings | 1,410.0 | 1,537.4 | 127.3 |
| Capital stock | 340.4 | 340.4 | 0.0 |
| Capital surplus | 237.0 | 237.0 | 0.0 |
| Retained earnings | 832.4 | 959.8 | 127.3 |
| Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries | 110.2 | 99.9 | (10.3) |
| Tier 2 | 715.1 | 683.2 | (31.8) |
| $45 \%$ of unrealized gains on other securities | This item is no | applicable to ba mestic operations | with only |
| Excess of eligible reserves ralative to EL and general reserve | 63.6 | 59.4 | (4.2) |
| Subordinated debts | 621.4 | 593.5 | (27.9) |
| Deductions | 15.0 | 11.0 | (4.0) |
| Risk-weighted assets | 18,893.8 | 17,706.4 | $(1,187.4)$ |
| Credit risk assets | 17,724.7 | 16,565.4 | $(1,159.2)$ |
| Operational risk assets | 1,169.1 | 1,140.9 | (28.2) |



Resona Holdings, Inc.

Capital Adequacy Ratio (Subsidiary Banks)

| Japanese domestic standard <br> (Billions of Yen) | RB (Consolidated) [F-IRB] |  |  | SR (Non-consolidated) [F-IRB] |  |  | KO (Consolidated) [F-IRB] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar.31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Sep.30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar.31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Sep.30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar.31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Sep.30,$2011$ |  |
|  |  |  | Change |  |  | Change |  |  | Change |
| Capital adequacy ratio | 11.76\% | 13.14\% | +1.38\% | 12.10\% | 13.07\% | +0.97\% | 12.85\% | 14.34\% | +1.49\% |
| Tier 1 ratio | 8.10\% | 9.35\% | +1.25\% | 7.55\% | 8.54\% | +0.99\% | 8.00\% | 9.20\% | +1.20\% |


| Total qualifying capital | 1,607.2 | 1,674.4 | 67.2 | 443.9 | 455.4 | 11.4 | 181.4 | 189.7 | 8.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 capital | 1,106.5 | 1,192.0 | 85.4 | 277.0 | 297.8 | 20.7 | 113.0 | 121.6 | 8.6 |
| Tier 2 capital | 540.9 | 520.1 | (20.7) | 177.2 | 167.1 | (10.0) | 69.2 | 68.9 | (0.3) |
| Deductions | 40.2 | 37.6 | (2.5) | 10.2 | 9.5 | (0.6) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 |


| Risk weighted assets | 13,660.5 | 12,735.7 | (924.7) | 3,668.1 | 3,483.7 | (184.4) | 1,411.5 | 1,322.3 | (89.1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit risk assets | 12,905.3 | 11,998.4 | (906.9) | 3,403.0 | 3,224.2 | (178.8) | 1,306.6 | 1,220.5 | (86.0) |
| Operational risk assets | 755.1 | 737.3 | (17.7) | 265.1 | 259.5 | (5.5) | 104.9 | 101.8 | (3.0) |

## Distributable Profits and Dividend Policy

Resona Holdings (Distributable Profits as of Sep. 30, 2011: Y267.5 bn)


## Status of Consolidated Subsidiaries and Affiliated Companies

| Consolidated subsidiaries (domestic, excluding subsidiary banks) |  |  |  |  | (Billions of Yen) <br> (Ref) FY2010 <br> Net Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Line of business | Capital contribution ratio | Net Income |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1H FY2011 | YoY change |  |
| Resona Guarantee Co., Ltd. | Credit guarantee (Mainly mortgage loan) | Resona Group 100\% | 3.7 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Daiwa Guarantee Co., Ltd. | Credit guarantee (Mainly mortgage loan) | Resona Group 100\% | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Kinki Osaka Shinyo Hosho Co., Ltd. | Credit guarantee (Mainly mortgage Ioan) | Resona Group 100\% | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Resona Card Co., Ltd. | Credit card Credit guarantee | Resona Holdings 77.6\% Credit Saison 22.4\% | 0.9 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| Resona Kessai Service Co., Ltd. | Factoring | Resona Holdings 100\% | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Resona Research Institute Co., Ltd. | Business consulting service | Resona Holdings 100\% | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 |
| Resona Capital Co., Ltd. | Venture capital | Resona Holdings 100\% | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Resona Business Service Co., Ltd. | Back office work | Resona Holdings 100\% | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 |
| Resona Servicer Co., Ltd. | Servicer | Resona Holdings 100\% | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 |
| Total |  |  | 5.9 | 3.8 | 5.9 |

Major consolidated subsidiaries (overseas)

| Name | Line of business | Capital contribution ratio | Net income |  | (Ref) FY2010 <br> Net Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1H FY2011 | YoY change |  |
| P.T. Bank Resona Perdania | Banking business (Indonesia) | Resona Group 43.4\% (Effective control approach) | 1.0 | (0.0) | 1.9 |
| P.T. Resona Indonesia Finance | Leasing business (Indonesia) | Resona Group 100\% | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.1 |
| Total |  |  | 1.1 | (0.0) | 2.0 |

Affiliated company accounted for by the equity method

| Name | Line of business | Capital contribution ratio | Net Profit |  | (Ref) FY2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1H FY2011 | YoY change | Net income |
| Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. | Banking and Trust | Resona Group 33.3\% Sumitomo Trust 33.3\% Sumitomo Mitsui Trust HD 33.3\% | 0.3 | (0.2) | 1.1 |

## Other Detailed Materials

## Reference Material

## Trend of Major Fee Businesses



* Excluding gains from investments in real estate fund

Trend of Corporate Banking Business (Total of Group Banks)

Loans extended to corporate borrowers

- Loans to Large Corporations - Loans to SMEs


1. Loans to SMEs = Loans to SMEs and Individuals - loans to individuals
2. Loans to large corporations = Total loans - loans to SMEs and individuals - loans to central and local governments

## (Reference)

Overall trend of loans to SMEs by banks in Japan


## Balance of loans backed by CGA*1

$\simeq$ Loans backed by CGA $\longrightarrow$ Loans backed by CGALoans to SMEs


Trend of solution fees (RB)

## (Y bn)



## Gap Analysis: FY2010 (Act) vs. FY2011 (Forecast)

Forecasting a consolidated net income of Y170.0 bn (+Y10.0 bn increase YoY) for FY2011


## Corporate Governance

## The first Japanese financial institution to adopt the Committees Governance Model. Outside directors constitute the majority of the Board of Directors.

Appointments of outside directors by corporations listed on the 1st section of TSE

*1 1,668 corporations listed on the 1st section of the TSE

General Meeting of Shareholders


## List of Directors

|  | Name | Major Concurrent Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside <br> Directors | Kunio Kojima | Advisor of Japan Securities Finance Co., Ltd. |
|  | Hideo lida | Lawyer (visiting lawyer of Okuno \& Partners) |
|  | Tsutomu Okuda | Chairman and CEO of J. Front Retailing Co., Ltd. |
|  | Shusai Nagai | Professor of Faculty of Business Administration, <br> Toyo Gakuen University Graduate School |
|  | Emi Osono | Professor of Hitotsubashi University Graduate School <br> of International Corporate Strategy |
|  | Toshio Arima | Executive Corporate Advisor of Fuji Xerox Co., Ltd. |


|  | Name | Major Concurrent Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internal <br> Directors | Eiji Hosoya $^{*}{ }^{2}$ | Chairman and Director of Resona Bank, Ltd. |
|  | Seiji Higaki | Deputy Chairman and Director of Resona Bank, Ltd. |
|  | Kazuhiro Higashi | Executive Officer of Resona Bank, Ltd. |
|  | Kaoru Isono |  |

*2 Appointed as chairman after an infusion of public funds in 2003. The former deputy president of JR-East.
"CEO Succession Plan" as a management selection / development program

## Risk Volume Relative to Capital (End of September 2011)

■ Primary risks such as credit, market and operational risks are controlled within Tier 1 limit
■ Risk buffers comprising of excess Tier 1 and Tier 2 are provided against the risk volume assumed under a stress scenario or the risks difficult to measure.



Note: Tier 1 and Tier 2 amounts are after certain adjustments.

## Sophistication in ALM Interest Rate Risk Management:

Introduction of Internal Model to Measure Core Liquidity Deposits

Reassess the value of liquidity deposits


Combined Balance Sheet (RB + SR + KO)
 (As of Sep.30,2011)

## More sophisticated

 ALM interest rate risk managementMethods to measure core liquidity deposits
Before implementation of internal model
< Standardized method>
(FSA's bank supervision guideline)

- Introduced the idea of core liquidity deposits in FY2007
- Balance: the smallest of the following

1. Lowest balance for the past 5 years
2. Current balance less maximum annual outflow observed in the past 5 years
3. Current balance $\times 50 \%$

- Maturity allocated evenly over 5 years (2.5 years on average)


## Internal model

- RB and SR adopted in Apr.2010, KO in Oct. 2010
- Rationally modeled depositors' behaviors to grasp how much can be regarded as core liquidity deposits
- Maturity allocated evenly over 10 years (5 years on average)
- Longer maturity applicable to core liquidity deposits (from 2.5 years to 5.0 years on average) enables the banks to take longer-term interest rate risk


## Securities Portfolio (Total of Group Banks)

Maturity ladder for securities held (securities with contractual maturities, nominal amount basis)

|  | End of Sep. 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | End of Mar. 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One year or less | One to three years | Three to five years | Five to seven years | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Seven to } \\ \text { ten } \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | Over ten years | One year or less | One to three years | Three to five years | Five to seven years | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Seven to } \\ \text { ten } \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Over } \\ \text { ten } \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bonds held to maturity | 49.7 | 320.2 | 214.7 | 350.3 | 930.1 | 3.0 | 45.2 | 220.1 | 254.1 | 327.3 | 824.7 | 3.0 |
| JGBs | 30.0 | 260.0 | 127.0 | 285.8 | 817.2 | 3.0 | 30.0 | 165.0 | 177.0 | 266.3 | 714.7 | 3.0 |
| Floating-rate JGBs |  |  | 47.0 | 197.8 | 305.2 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 236.3 | 311.7 |  |
| Japanese local government bonds | 16.9 | 56.9 | 86.1 | 64.5 | 112.9 |  | 12.0 | 51.0 | 75.2 | 60.9 | 110.0 |  |
| Japanese corporate bonds | 2.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 |  |  |  | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 0 |  |  |
| Available-for-sale securities | 2,952.4 | 1,854.3 | 3,027.2 | 325.3 | 358.7 | 134.4 | 2,728.8 | 1,464.7 | 2,537.5 | 390.1 | 478.3 | 152.0 |
| Bonds | 2,902.2 | 1,792.5 | 2,950.5 | 319.8 | 356.9 | 20.5 | 2,713.6 | 1,403.9 | 2,506.0 | 381.4 | 476.1 | 22.3 |
| JGBs | 2,716.6 | 1,411.3 | 2,636.3 | 225.8 | 269.4 |  | 2,528.7 | 1,083.7 | 2,064.1 | 254.6 | 384.0 |  |
| Floating-rate JGBs |  |  | 11.1 | 225.8 | 161.4 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 219.1 | 184.0 |  |
| Japanese local government bonds | 0.2 | 17.5 | 30.8 | 19.8 | 84.6 |  | 0.3 | 5.7 | 41.8 | 16.7 | 85.8 |  |
| Japanese corporate bonds | 185.4 | 363.6 | 283.3 | 74.2 | 2.9 | 20.5 | 184.5 | 314.5 | 400.0 | 110.1 | 6.3 | 22.3 |
| Other | 50.2 | 61.7 | 76.6 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 113.8 | 15.2 | 60.8 | 31.5 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 129.7 |


| Unrealized gains/(losses) |  |  |  |  | Trend of market and other indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( Y bn) |  |  |  |  | [Duration and Basis Point Value of JGBs (Available-for-sale securitie: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B/S <br> Amount <br> (Sep. '11) | Change from Mar. '11 | Unrealized gains/ (losses) (Sep. '11) | Change from Mar. '11 |  | 2009/3 | 2009/9 | 2010/3 | 2010/9 | 2011/3 | 2011/9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Duration (year) | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | BPV ( Ybn) | 0.90 | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 1.35 | 1.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10-year JGB yield | 1.350\% | 1.290\% | 1.390\% | 0.930\% | 1.250\% | 1.025\% |
| Bonds held to maturity | 1,863.9 | 196.0 | 46.4 | 21.8 | [Break-even Nikkei Average Points] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks ofsubsidiaries and affiliates | 9,156.3 | 913.0 | 63.8 | (28.8) |  | 2009/3 | 2009/9 | 2010/3 | 2010/9 | 2011/3 | 2011/9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nikkei Average Points (Yen) | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,300 | 7,600 | 7,200 | 7,100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | BV of stock sold outright (Ybn) | 7.6 | 2.6 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 9.6 | 0.4 |
| Stocks | 416.0 | (47.2) | 66.9 | (44.9) | [Net gains/(losses) on bonds and stocks] |  |  |  |  |  | ( Y bn ) |
| Bonds | 8,374.3 | 858.7 | 8.6 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 365.8 | 101.4 | (11.7) | (6.8) |  | FY2008 | 1H FY2009 | FY2009 | 1HFY2010 | FY2010 | 1H FY2011 |
| Note The figures reported above include securities, negotiable certificates of deposit (NCDs) included in "cash and due from banks" and a portion of "monetary claims The presented figures only include marketable securities. |  |  |  |  | Net gains/(losses) on bonds | 10.2 | 14.4 | 19.7 | 29.1 | 30.5 | 15.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Net gains/(losses) on stocks | (37.6) | 2.1 | 4.3 | (6.7) | (1.7) | 0.3 |

Stocks Held by Industry (RB, As of Sep. 30, 2011)


Maturity Ladder of Deposit and Loans (RB, Domestic Operations)

| Loans and bills discounted |  |  |  |  |  | Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [End of March 2011] |  |  |  |  |  | [End of March 2011] |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Within 6M | 6 to 12M | 1 to 3Y | Over 3Y | Total |  | Within 6M | 6 to 12 M | 1 to $3 Y$ | Over 3Y | Total |
| Fixed rate | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 4.0\% | 6.8\% | 13.7\% | Liquid deposits | 45.6\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% | 13.0\% | 63.3\% |
| Prime rate-based | 50.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.0\% | Time deposits | 18.7\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% | 1.2\% | 36.7\% |
| Market rate-based | 25.8\% | 3.7\% | 3.4\% | 2.4\% | 35.3\% | Total | 64.3\% | 11.3\% | 10.2\% | 14.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Total | 77.9\% | 5.5\% | 7.4\% | 9.2\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans maturing within 1 vear [End of September | 83.4\% 2011] |  |  |  |  | [End of September | 2011] |  |  |  |  |
|  | Within 6M | 6 to 12M | 1 to 3Y | Over 3Y | Total |  | Within 6M | 6 to 12 M | 1 to 3Y | Over 3Y | Total |
| Fixed rate | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 3.9\% | 6.2\% | 12.7\% | Liquid deposits | 43.6\% | 1.0\% | 3.9\% | 13.5\% | 61.9\% |
| Prime rate-based | 51.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.7\% | Time deposits | 19.3\% | 10.9\% | 6.7\% | 1.2\% | 38.1\% |
| Market rate-based | 27.8\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% | 2.5\% | 35.5\% | Total | 62.9\% | 11.8\% | 10.6\% | 14.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Total | 80.9\% | 3.1\% | 7.3\% | 8.7\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans maturingwithin 1 year $\quad 84.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[Change in 1H of FY2011]

|  | Within 6M 6 to 12M | 1 to 3Y | Over 3Y | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fixed rate | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ |
| Prime rate-based | $1.1 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Market rate-based | $2.0 \%$ | $-1.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 4 \%}$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Loans maturing <br> within 1 vear | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |

[Change in 1H of FY2011]

|  | Within 6M | 6 to 12 M | 1 to 3Y | Over 3Y | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liquid deposits | $-2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 4 \%}$ |
| Time deposits | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total | $-1.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

## Swap Positions by Remaining Periods (RB)

■ Notional amounts of interest rate swaps by remaining period (to which a deferred hedge accounting is applied)
(Billions of Yen)

|  | End of September 2011 |  |  |  | End of March 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within 1 year | 1 to 5 years | Over 5 years | Total | Within 1 year | 1 to 5 years | Over 5 years | Total |
| Receive fixed rate/ Pay floating rate | 191.0 | 449.7 | 940.0 | 1,580.7 | 160.0 | 478.3 | 950.0 | 1,588.3 |
| Receive floating rate/ Pay fixed rate | 155.0 | 231.9 | 515.0 | 901.9 | 205.0 | 160.0 | 585.0 | 950.0 |
| Net position to receive fixed rate | 36.0 | 217.7 | 425.0 | 678.7 | (45.0) | 318.3 | 365.0 | 638.3 |

## Composition of Loan Portfolio by Base Rates (RB)

## Loans to corporations

*Market rate-linked loans (corporate) include the fixed-rate (spread) loans maturing in less than one year.
[End September 2010]

[End March 2011]

[End September 2011]


## Loans to individuals

[End September 2010]

[End March 2011]

[End September 2011]



Individual Deposits
[End September 2010]

[End March 2011]

[End September 2011]

[End March 2011]

[End September 2011]


Migrations of Borrowers (RB, 1H FY2011)
Exposure amount basis (Migration during 1H FY2011)

|  |  | End of September 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UpwardMigration | Downward Migration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | Other Watch | Special Attention | Doubtful | Quasi- <br> Bankrupt | Bankrupt | Other | Collection, Repayments | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Assignments, } \\ \text { Sale } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |
|  | Normal | 98.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | - | 1.4\% |
|  | Other Watch | 10.3\% | 82.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Special Attention | 2.6\% | 7.3\% | 73.1\% | 11.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 12.4\% |
|  | Doubtful | 1.0\% | 3.7\% | 2.6\% | 81.6\% | 7.5\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Quasi- <br> Bankrupt | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 90.7\% | 3.8\% | 4.9\% | 3.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Bankrupt | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 89.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.9\% | 7.9\% | 1.5\% | - |

1. Above tabel shows how a borrower belonging to a particular borrower category as of the end of March 2011 migrated to a new category as of the end of September 2011.
2. Percentage points are calculated based on exposure amounts as of the end of March 2011. (New loans extended, loans partially collected or written-off during the period are not taken into account.)
3. "Other" as of the end of September 2011 refers to those exposures removed from the balance sheet due to collection, repayments, assignments or sale of claims.

## Trend of Long-term Senior Debt Rating of Resona Bank



List of Preferred Shares Issued by RHD


| Private Fund |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 4 Preferred Shares | Class 5 Preferred Shares | Class 6 Preferred Shares |
| Private Fund | Private Fund | Private Fund |
| Resona Holdings Class 4 | Resona Holdings Class 5 | Resona Holdings Class 6 |
| 8/31/2006 | 8/28/2007 | 12/8/2009 |
| 2,520,000 shares | 4,000,000 shares | 3,000,000 shares |
| JPY 25,000 | JPY 25,000 | JPY 25,000 |
| JPY 63.0 Billion | JPY 100.0 Billion | JPY 75.0 Billion |
| JPY 63.0 Billion | JPY 100.0 Billion | JPY 75.0 Billion |
| Shinkin Trust Bank | Dai-ichi Life | Nippon Life Meiji Yasuda Life Daido Life |
| JPY 992.50 | JPY 918.75 | JPY 1,237.50 |
| JPY 2,501 Million | JPY 3,675 Million | JPY 3,712 Million |
| 3.97\% | 3.675\% | 4.950\% |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (---) | (---) | (---) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mandatory exchange not applicable Acquisition clause exercisable under certain conditions at the issuer's option after seven years affter issue date | Mandatory exchange not applicable Acquisition clause exercisable under certain conditions at the issuer's option after seven years after issue date | Mandatory exchange not applicable <br> Acquisition clause exercisable undder <br> certain conditions at this isuers <br> attertion seven years after issue date |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- | --- | --- |


| (Billions of Yen) | FY 2009 <br> (Actual) | FY 2010 <br> (Actual) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2011 \\ \text { (Plan) } \end{gathered}$ | FY 2012 <br> (Plan) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2013 \\ \text { (Plan) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross operating profit | 615.2 | 605.7 | 603.0 | 606.0 | 623.0 |
| Trust fees | 28.7 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 26.1 | 28.7 |
| Jointly Operated Designated Money Trust | 4.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| NPL disposal in the trust account | (0.0) | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Interest income | 578.4 | 541.3 | 539.0 | 555.0 | 604.0 |
| Interest expense | 92.5 | 70.1 | 73.0 | 86.0 | 123.0 |
| Net fees \& commissions | 67.6 | 72.9 | 80.0 | 81.0 | 83.0 |
| Net trading income | 27.4 | 30.1 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
| Other operating income | 5.4 | 5.5 | 24.7 | 22.0 | 21.6 |
| Gains/(losses) on bonds | 19.7 | 31.5 | 2.0 | (2.7) | 0.9 |
| Net operating profit (Before provision to general reserve and NPL disposal in the trust account) | 264.6 | 260.0 | 257.0 | 261.0 | 280.0 |
| Net operating profit | 273.3 | 264.8 | 257.0 | 261.0 | 280.0 |
| Provision to general reserve | (8.6) | (4.7) |  |  |  |
| Expenses | 350.5 | 345.6 | 346.0 | 345.0 | 343.0 |
| Personnel expense | 126.0 | 130.1 | 127.5 | 127.5 | 129.0 |
| Non-personnel expenses | 206.0 | 197.1 | 199.5 | 198.5 | 195.0 |
| Disposal of NPL | 114.6 | 70.4 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 61.0 |
| Net gain/(loss) on stocks | 4.3 | (1.7) | 4.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| Loss on devaluation | 3.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Ordinary profit*3 | 152.6 | 193.0 | 195.0 | 204.0 | 229.0 |
| Extraordinary gains | 28.9 | 30.4 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Extraordinary losses | 4.9 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Income taxes - current | 48.7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 69.0 | 88.0 |
| Income taxes - deferred | 4.6 | 61.7 | 55.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Net income/(loss) | 123.1 | 150.5 | 131.0 | 121.0 | 137.0 |
| Credit related expenses | 82.1 | 36.8 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 61.0 |


| (Billions of Yen) | FY 2009 (Actual) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2010 \\ & \text { (Actual) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2011 \\ \text { (Plan) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2012 \\ \text { (Plan) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FY 2013 <br> (Plan) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets *1 | 39,336.8 | 39,733.0 | 40,480.0 | 41,050.0 | 41,510.0 |
| Loans and bills discounted | 25,668.3 | 25,262.9 | 25,620.0 | 26,000.0 | 26,390.0 |
| Securities | 8,837.4 | 9,530.3 | 9,970.0 | 10,060.0 | 10,040.0 |
| Trading assets | 467.6 | 422.3 | 500.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| DTA (term-end bal.) | 219.0 | 158.6 | 107.1 | 98.1 | 97.4 |
| Total liabilities*1 | 38,104.7 | 38,394.4 | 38,960.0 | 39,540.0 | 40,000.0 |
| Deposits and NCDs | 33,192.0 | 34,013.3 | 33,550.0 | 34,000.0 | 34,430.0 |
| Trading liabilities | 5.1 | 11.8 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| DTL (term-end bal.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| DTL for land revaluation (term-end bal.) | 29.8 | 28.2 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 24.5 |
| Net assets*1 | 1,459.9 | 1,567.3 | 1,563.1 | 1,556.2 | 1,572.9 |
| Capital stock | 388.8 | 388.8 | 388.8 | 388.8 | 388.8 |
| Capital reserve | 418.8 | 418.8 | 418.8 | 418.8 | 418.8 |
| Other capital surplus | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 |
| Earned surplus reserve | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Retained earnings *2 | 381.1 | 508.9 | 493.2 | 484.1 | 501.3 |
| Land revaluation excess | 40.4 | 38.4 | 34.7 | 33.8 | 32.6 |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) on other securities | 82.8 | 61.6 | 80.3 | 83.4 | 84.1 |

(Management Indicators)
(Management Indicators)

| Yield on interest earning assets (A) | 1.61 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.60 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest earned on loans and bills discounted | 1.95 | 1.85 | 1.78 | 1.80 | 1.93 |
| Interest on securities | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.68 |
| Total cost of funding (B) | 1.21 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.22 |
| Interest paid on deposits and NCDs (D) | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.21 |
| Overall interest spread (A) - (B) | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.38 |
| Cost-to-income ratio (OHR) | 56.98 | 57.06 | 57.37 | 56.93 | 55.05 |

[^8]3. Ordinary profit includes gains from recoveries of written-off claims etc. in extraordinary gains.

## Macro Economic Trend

Reference Material

## Advent of Aged Society Expands Business Opportunities for Resona



[^9]
## GDP Components



Source : Cabinet Office

* In real term : seasonally adjusted series
* Private Investment: Private Residential Investment, Private Non-resi. Investment, Private Inventory
* Public Demand: Government Consumption, Public Investment, Public Inventory

Japanese Economy: Forecast of Real GDP Growth Rate

| $\%$ | FY2009 <br> Actual | FY2010 <br> Actual | FY2011 <br> Forecast | FY2012 <br> Forecast |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GDP | -2.1 | 3.1 | -0.4 | 1.7 |
| Private Consumption | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Private Non-Resi. Investmen. | -1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Public Demand | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Net Export | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.9 | 0.1 |

 Source: Cabinet Office, Resona Bank
 Source: Cabinet Office, Resona Bank

## Overall Economy in Japan (2)

## Trends in Stability Ratios of Japanese Companies



Source: Financial Statements Statistics of Corporation
(4 quarter moving average) (Apr.-June, 2001-July-Sep. 2011)

## Overall Economy in Japan (3)



Overall Economy in Japan (4)
Comparison of Debts Held by Private Non-financial Sectors
Debt balance of domestic nonfinancial sectors


## Overall Economy in Japan (5)

## Trend of Unemployment Rate



* Excluding three prefectures of Japan (Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima) from the result of March,2011 to June, 2011

Source : Datastream

## Overall Economy in Japan (6)



Japan-US Comparison of Housing Trends (1)


## Japan-US Comparison of Housing Trend (2)



## Japan-US Comparison of Housing Trend (3)

Major Difference in Housing Loan Features (Japan and the U.S.)

|  | Japan | U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary objective of purchasing home | Primarily for permanent dwelling | In many cases, for replacements |
| Most important criteria for loan application screening | DTI (Debt-to-Income Ratio) |  |
| Main Product Type | Recourse | Non-Recourse |
| Pledged Collateral | Collateral value is mostly from land | Collateral value is mostly from building |
| Sub-prime loan market | Non-existent | Expanded rapidly with rising real estate prices |

## Trend of Condominium Market in Tokyo and Osaka Metropolitan Areas

|  | Tokyo Metropolitan Area |  |  |  |  |  | Osaka Metroplitan Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of units newly supplied | Number of units sold | Contracted rate (\%) | All units left unsold (inventory) | Average price per unit (new supply) (thousands of yen) | Selling price per 1 m 2 (new supply) (thousands of ven) | Number of units newly supplied | Number of units sold | Contracted rate (\%) | All units left unsold (inventory) | Average price per unit (new supply) (thousands of yen) | Selling price per 1 m 2 (new supply) (thousands of ven) |
| Oct. 2007 | 5,731 | 3,583 | 62.5\% | 8,582 | 46,930 | 61 | 2,648 | 2,069 | 78.1\% | 5,224 | 43,180 | 56 |
| Nov. 2007 | 3,868 | 2,476 | 64.0\% | 8,669 | 46,840 | 64 | 2,332 | 1,374 | 58.9\% | 5,459 | 35,320 | 47 |
| Dec. 2007 | 8,190 | 4,859 | 59.3\% | 10,763 | 44,470 | 58 | 2,894 | 2,038 | 70.4\% | 5,769 | 31,360 | 50 |
| Jan. 2008 | 2,320 | 1,223 | 52.7\% | 10,694 | 42,100 | 58 | 1,492 | 860 | 57.6\% | 5,824 | 31,360 | 46 |
| Feb. 2008 | 3,460 | 2,081 | 60.1\% | 10,643 | 47,680 | 65 | 2,226 | 1,404 | 63.1\% | 5,760 | 35,400 | 47 |
| Mar. 2008 | 4,446 | 2,901 | 65.2\% | 10,837 | 50,080 | 67 | 2,544 | 1,507 | 59.2\% | 5,975 | 36,310 | 48 |
| Apr. 2008 | 2,865 | 1,808 | 63.1\% | 10,544 | 53,380 | 71 | 1,248 | 782 | 62.7\% | 5,538 | 35,110 | 50 |
| May. 2008 | 4,389 | 3,118 | 71.0\% | 10,482 | 48,250 | 64 | 1,791 | 1,008 | 56.3\% | 5,626 | 36,720 | 48 |
| Jun. 2008 | 4,002 | 2,588 | 64.7\% | 10,760 | 46,380 | 63 | 2,556 | 1,649 | 64.5\% | 5,887 | 37,720 | 49 |
| Jul. 2008 | 3,554 | 1,902 | 53.5\% | 10,885 | 53,090 | 72 | 1,786 | 1,006 | 56.3\% | 5,898 | 34,270 | 48 |
| Aug. 2008 | 2,041 | 1,447 | 70.9\% | 10,504 | 47,990 | 68 | 1,161 | 690 | 59.4\% | 5,731 | 36,480 | 49 |
| Sep. 2008 | 2,427 | 1,458 | 60.1\% | 10,411 | 44,670 | 62 | 2,047 | 1,277 | 62.4\% | 5,831 | 35,810 | 48 |
| Oct. 2008 | 4,240 | 2,671 | 63.0\% | 10,842 | 48,480 | 67 | 2,164 | 1,342 | 62.0\% | 6,034 | 35,930 | 46 |
| Nov. 2008 | 3,293 | 2,080 | 63.2\% | 11,085 | 50,180 | 68 | 1,716 | 1,009 | 58.8\% | 6,168 | 34,880 | 48 |
| Dec. 2008 | 6,696 | 4,143 | 61.9\% | 12,427 | 42,810 | 59 | 2,013 | 1,195 | 59.4\% | 6,344 | 30,140 | 45 |
| Jan. 2009 | 1,760 | 1,130 | 64.2\% | 11,679 | 41,720 | 59 | 1,412 | 708 | 50.1\% | 6,264 | 33,350 | 44 |
| Feb. 2009 | 2,509 | 1,548 | 61.7\% | 9,819 | 48,230 | 65 | 1,548 | 853 | 55.1\% | 6,022 | 34,210 | 47 |
| Mar. 2009 | 2,390 | 1,871 | 78.3\% | 8,846 | 47,470 | 65 | 2,358 | 1,528 | 64.8\% | 5,971 | 35,430 | 47 |
| Apr. 2009 | 2,621 | 1,697 | 64.7\% | 8,791 | 39,530 | 60 | 1,904 | 977 | 51.3\% | 6,170 | 35,880 | 48 |
| May. 2009 | 3,528 | 2,492 | 70.6\% | 8,333 | 45,500 | 64 | 1,411 | 910 | 64.5\% | 5,889 | 36,300 | 48 |
| Jun. 2009 | 3,080 | 2,161 | 70.2\% | 7,928 | 45,430 | 63 | 1,524 | 920 | 60.4\% | 5,836 | 35,240 | 47 |
| Jul. 2009 | 3,230 | 2,432 | 75.3\% | 7,446 | 46,270 | 67 | 1,247 | 795 | 63.8\% | 5,569 | 33,490 | 46 |
| Aug. 2009 | 1,914 | 1,327 | 69.3\% | 7,037 | 43,140 | 61 | 1,057 | 723 | 68.4\% | 5,354 | 36,190 | 47 |
| Sep. 2009 | 3,063 | 2,263 | 73.9\% | 6,840 | 45,270 | 62 | 1,467 | 893 | 60.9\% | 5,146 | 36,370 | 49 |
| Oct. 2009 | 3,386 | 2,337 | 69.0\% | 6,895 | 46,190 | 66 | 2,003 | 1,249 | 62.4\% | 5,246 | 31,170 | 46 |
| Nov. 2009 | 3,648 | 2,508 | 68.8\% | 6,825 | 46,470 | 69 | 2,088 | 1,272 | 60.9\% | 5,345 | 33,300 | 47 |
| Dec. 2009 | 5,247 | 3,602 | 68.6\% | 7,389 | 45,970 | 64 | 1,765 | 1,301 | 73.7\% | 5,233 | 29,870 | 50 |
| Jan. 2010 | 1,586 | 1,115 | 70.3\% | 6,732 | 41,380 | 61 | 1,505 | 844 | 56.1\% | 5,160 | 36,210 | 50 |
| Feb. 2010 | 2,777 | 1,964 | 70.7\% | 6,416 | 47,720 | 68 | 1,439 | 910 | 63.2\% | 4,996 | 32,640 | 50 |
| Mar. 2010 | 3,685 | 3,053 | 82.8\% | 6,022 | 50,700 | 71 | 1,684 | 1,088 | 64.6\% | 4,878 | 30,910 | 44 |
| Apr. 2010 | 3,214 | 2,568 | 79.9\% | 5,736 | 46,160 | 62 | 1,391 | 1,006 | 72.3\% | 4,579 | 36,280 | 48 |
| May. 2010 | 3,779 | 2,908 | 77.0\% | 5,671 | 46,630 | 66 | 1,763 | 1,340 | 76.0\% | 4,232 | 35,510 | 47 |
| Jun. 2010 | 5,130 | 4,303 | 83.9\% | 5,481 | 46,940 | 64 | 2,449 | 1,884 | 76.9\% | 4,098 | 33,980 | 49 |
| Jul. 2010 | 4,128 | 3,229 | 78.2\% | 5,406 | 47,320 | 67 | 1,908 | 1,339 | 70.2\% | 4,047 | 34,300 | 47 |
| Aug. 2010 | 2,268 | 1,697 | 74.8\% | 5,025 | 44,240 | 66 | 1,684 | 1,267 | 75.2\% | 3,810 | 35,090 | 48 |
| Sep. 2010 | 3,183 | 2,383 | 74.9\% | 4,722 | 50,240 | 71 | 2,111 | 1,460 | 69.2\% | 3,893 | 35,490 | 48 |
| Oct. 2010 | 3,718 | 2,928 | 78.8\% | 4,743 | 45,120 | 66 | 2,045 | 1,459 | 71.3\% | 3,957 | 32,810 | 52 |
| Nov. 2010 | 3,679 | 2,957 | 80.4\% | 4,622 | 48,670 | 68 | 2,055 | 1,454 | 70.8\% | 3,949 | 33,470 | 50 |
| Dec. 2010 | 7,388 | 5,806 | 78.6\% | 5,600 | 47,060 | 65 | 1,682 | 1,190 | 70.7\% | 3,971 | 38,380 | 53 |
| Jan. 2011 | 1,372 | 1,005 | 73.3\% | 5,116 | 42,380 | 60 | 1,301 | 854 | 65.6\% | 3,750 | 34,840 | 48 |
| Feb. 2011 | 3,468 | 2,940 | 84.8\% | 4,725 | 47,170 | 68 | 1,501 | 1,070 | 71.3\% | 3,438 | 35,070 | 48 |
| Mar. 2011 | 3,685 | 2,936 | 79.7\% | 4,716 | 46,740 | 65 | 1,719 | 1,288 | 74.9\% | 3,311 | 33,780 | 50 |
| Apr. 2011 | 2,318 | 1,765 | 76.1\% | 4,535 | 46,630 | 67 | 1,116 | 782 | 70.1\% | 3,130 | 36,720 | 51 |
| May. 2011 | 3,914 | 3,100 | 79.2\% | 4,582 | 47,190 | 67 | 1,780 | 1,381 | 77.6\% | 3,004 | 34,960 | 48 |
| Jun. 2011 | 3,441 | 2,725 | 79.2\% | 4,646 | 45,070 | 63 | 1,888 | 1,414 | 74.9\% | 2,916 | 34,190 | 49 |
| Jul. 2011 | 4,073 | 3,102 | 76.2\% | 4,962 | 45,580 | 64 | 1,560 | 1,171 | 75.1\% | 2,772 | 35,820 | 48 |
| Aug. 2011 | 2,306 | 1,611 | 69.9\% | 4,975 | 51,160 | 73 | 1,282 | 874 | 68.2\% | 2,814 | 29,820 | 47 |
| Sep. 2011 | 3,713 | 2,884 | 77.7\% | 4,826 | 42,650 | 62 | 1,957 | 1,295 | 66.2\% | 2,989 | 31,500 | 48 |

[^10](3) Resona Holdings, Inc.

## Potential for Housing Loan Market

\% of Total Households/Household Growth Rate
Population and housing ownership rate



[^11]Source: Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications:
Housing \& Land Survey (2008)
Population estimates as of August 1, 2011

Potential for Sales of Financial Products


The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation may be subject to material change due to the following factors.

These factors may include changes in the level of stock price in Japan, any development and change related to the government's policies, laws, business practices and their interpretation, emergence of new corporate bankruptcies, changes in the economic environment in Japan and abroad and any other factors which are beyond control of the Resona Group.

These forward-looking statements are not intended to provide any guarantees of the Group's future performance. Please also note that the actual performance may differ from these statements.


[^0]:    As of end of March 2011 total of group banks
    (2) Megabank groups:BTMU + MUTB, Mizuho BK+ Mizuho CBK +Mizuho Trust, SMBC Sapporo Hokuyo HD, Kyoto)
    (3) As of FY2010
    (4) Consolidated cost to income ratio = operating expenses / gross operating profit
    (5) MUFG, SMFG, Mizuho FG

[^1]:    1. Domestic operations (Deposits include NCDs.)
    2. Fees and commission income plus trust fees
    3. Net operating profit before transfer to general reserve for possible loan losses and expenses related to NPL disposal in the trust account
    4. Progress rate against the full year forecasts announced in November 2011.
[^2]:    1. Figures are compiled for an administrative purpose
    *2. Compiled by Resona Holdings based on the data extracted from various issues of "Newsletter on Pensions \& Investment"
    © Resona Holdings, Inc.
[^3]:    *1 Adjusted personnel expenses: Personnel expenses including the cost associated with hiring temporary staffs and other related costs Adjusted non-personnel expenses : Non-personnel expenses - Cost associated with hiring temporary staffs and other related costs

[^4]:    *1. Clerical work volume handled in branch office (Mar. $2005=100$ )

[^5]:    (2) Resona Holdings, Inc.

[^6]:    *1 RVA: Resona Value Added (Net profit after a deduction of cost on internally allocated capital)
    *2 Total of 3 banks on a non-consolidated basis plus profit and loss of loan guarantee subsidiaries

[^7]:    *2. Rate of subrogation repayment by loan guarantee subsidiaries

[^8]:    ${ }^{*}$. Assets and liabilities are stated in average balance. Net assets are reported in term-end balance.
    2. Earned surplus excluding earned surplus reserve

[^9]:    *4. Active customers as of Sep. 30, 2011 (total of group banks)
    Total population per age group from "Population Estimate" (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications)

[^10]:    Source: Datastream, Newsrun (Real Estate Economic Institute Co., Ltd.)
    Contracted rate (\%) = Number of units sold / Number of units newly supplied

[^11]:    Source: Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications: National Census

