<u>Corrections (including corrections of figures) to "Capital Adequacy Ratio as of June 30, 2010</u> (Additional Disclosure)", and other documents.

Certain figures reported in the disclosed materials below should be corrected as shown on the attached appendices.

1. Summary of correction (corrected figures are underlined)

I	Resona Holdings	(Consolidated	basis)	(BIS	D	omestic	Star	ndard))
						_			

-		September 30,	December 31,	March 31,	June 30,
		2009	2009	2010	2010
Capital adequacy ratio	After correction	13.09%	14.02%	13.81%	14.48%
	Before correction	<u>13.10%</u>	14.03%	13.81%	14.49%
Tier1 ratio	After correction	9.73%	10.50%	10.20%	10.84%
	Before correction	9.73%	10.50%	10.20%	10.84%

Resona Bank (Consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)

		September 30,	December 31,	March 31,	June 30,
		2009	2009	2010	2010
Capital adequacy ratio	After correction	10.68%	11.14%	11.03%	11.57%
	Before correction	10.68%	<u>11.15%</u>	11.03%	<u>11.58%</u>
Tier1 ratio	After correction	7.30%	7.65%	7.42%	7.94%
	Before correction	7.30%	7.65%	7.42%	7.95%

Resona Bank (Non-consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)

		September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	After correction	10.57%	11.05%	10.94%	11.48%
	Before correction	<u>10.58%</u>	11.05%	<u>10.95%</u>	11.49%
Tier1 ratio	After correction	7.14%	7.50%	7.24%	7.76%
	Before correction	7.14%	7.50%	<u>7.25%</u>	7.76%

2. Materials corrected

Release Date	Disclosure Materials
August 12, 2010	Capital Adequacy Ratio as of June 30, 2010 (Additional Disclosure)
May 14, 2010	Financial Results for FY 2009 Reference Materials for Press Conference
	Financial Results Report for Fiscal Year 2009 (Reference Materials)
February 12, 2010	Capital Adequacy Ratio as of December 31, 2009 (Additional Disclosure)
November 13, 2009	Summary of Consolidated Interim Financial Results (September 30, 2009 /Unaudited)
	Financial Results for First Half of FY 2009 Reference Materials for Press Conference
	Financial Results Report for the first half of FY 2009 (Reference Materials)

The summary of items and figures to be corrected is as follows (with corrected figures underlined).

Refore	correction
\\DCIOIC	COLLCCTION

Resona Holdings (Consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	13.10%	14.03%	13.81%	14.49%
Tier1 ratio	9.73%	10.50%	10.20%	10.84%
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	2,676.4	2,811.8	2,814.0	2,838.4
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,988.6	2,105.8	2,078.6	2,123.8
Resona Bank (Consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	10.68%	11.15%	11.03%	11.58%
Tier1 ratio	7.30%	7.65%	7.42%	<u>7.95%</u>
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	1,543.7	1,583.7	1,594.7	1,604.6
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,055.6	1,087.6	1,072.8	1,101.9
Resona Bank (Non-consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	10.58%	11.05%	10.95%	11.49%
Tier1 ratio	7.14%	7.50%	<u>7.25%</u>	7.76%
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	1,519.5	<u>1,563.8</u>	1,573.1	1,581.3
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,025.8	1,061.4	1,041.1	1,069.0
(For reference)				
Resona Holdings (Consolidated basis) (BIS International Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	13.51%	14.39%	14.20%	14.75%
Tier1 ratio	9.62%	10.40%	<u>10.09%</u>	10.68%

《After correction》

Resona Holdings (Consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	13.09%	14.02%	13.81%	14.48%
Tier1 ratio	9.73%	10.50%	10.20%	10.84%
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	2,676.4	2,811.8	2,814.0	2,838.4
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,988.6	2,105.8	2,078.6	2,123.8
Resona Bank (Consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	10.68%	<u>11.14%</u>	11.03%	<u>11.57%</u>
Tier1 ratio	7.30%	7.65%	7.42%	7.94%
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	1,543.7	1,583.7	1,594.7	1,604.6
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,055.6	1,087.6	1,072.8	1,101.9
Resona Bank (Non-consolidated basis) (BIS Domestic Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>10.57%</u>	11.05%	10.94%	<u>11.48%</u>
Tier1 ratio	7.14%	7.50%	<u>7.24%</u>	7.76%
Total qualifying capital (billions of yen)	1,519.5	<u>1,563.9</u>	1,573.1	1,581.3
Tier1 capital (billions of yen)	1,025.8	1,061.4	1,041.1	1,069.0
(For reference)				
Resona Holdings (Consolidated basis) (BIS International Standard)	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>13.50%</u>	14.38%	<u>14.19%</u>	14.75%
Tier1 ratio	9.62%	10.39%	10.08%	10.68%

Corrections:

1. Capital Adequacy Ratio as of June 30, 2010 (Additional Disclosure)

O P1

Consolidated capital adequacy ratio of Resona Holdings, Inc. (Japanese Domestic Standard) & Before correction \(\rightarrow \)

(Amount in billions of yen)

	June 30,	March 31,	CAR forecast
	2010	2010	Mar. 31, 2011
Capital adequacy ratio	14.49%	13.81%	Upper 13% range
Tier 1 ratio	10.84%	10.20%	
Total qualifying capital	2,838.4	2,814.0	
Tier 1 capital	2,123.8	2,078.6	
Total required capital	<u>1,566.6</u>	<u>1,629.1</u>	

Resona Holdings' consolidated capital adequacy ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards is as follows. *1

June 30, 2010

Capital adequacy ratio 14.75% Tier 1 ratio 10.68%

^{*1.} The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier 1 capital while calculating their Tier 2 capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier 1 ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be $\underline{14.77\%}$ and 10.68%, respectively.

(Amount in billions of yen)

	June 30,	March 31,	CAR forecast
	2010	2010	Mar. 31, 2011
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>14.48%</u>	13.81%	Upper 13% range
Tier 1 ratio	10.84%	10.20%	
Total qualifying capital	2,838.4	2,814.0	
Tier 1 capital	2,123.8	2,078.6	
Total required capital	1,567.2	1,629.7	

Resona Holdings' consolidated capital adequacy ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards is as follows. *1

June 30, 2010

Capital adequacy ratio 14.75% Tier 1 ratio 10.68%

^{*1.} The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier 1 capital while calculating their Tier 2 capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier 1 ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 14.76% and 10.68%, respectively.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \circP2 \\ & Capital \ adequacy \ ratios \ of \ subsidiary \ banks \ (Japanese \ Domestic \ Standard) \\ & \langle\!\langle Before \ correction\rangle\!\rangle \end{tabular}$

(Amount in billions of yen)

	Non-consolidated basis		Consolidated basis		
[Resona Bank, Ltd.]	June 30,	March 31,	June 30,	March 31,	CAR forecast
	2010	2010	2010	2010	Mar. 31, 2011
Capital adequacy ratio	11.49%	10.95%	<u>11.58%</u>	11.03%	Lower 11% range (Consolidated)
Tier 1 ratio	7.76%	7.25%	<u>7.95%</u>	7.42%	
Total qualifying capital	1,581.3	1,573.1	1,604.6	1,594.7	
Tier 1 capital	1,069.0	1,041.1	1,101.9	1,072.8	
Total required capital	<u>1,100.9</u>	<u>1,148.8</u>	1,108.4	1,155.7	

《After correction》

(Amount in billions of yen)

	Non-consolidat	ed basis	Consolidated basis		
[Resona Bank, Ltd.]	June 30, 2010	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010	March 31, 2010	CAR forecast Mar. 31, 2011
Capital adequacy ratio Tier 1 ratio	11.48% 7.76%	10.94% 7.24%	11.57% 7.94%	11.03% 7.42%	Lower 11% range (Consolidated)
Total qualifying capital Tier 1 capital	1,581.3 1,069.0	1,573.1 1,041.1	1,604.6 1,101.9	1,594.7 1,072.8	
Total required capital	<u>1,101.4</u>	1,149.4	1,108.9	<u>1,156.3</u>	

2. Financial Result for FY 2009 Reference Materials for Press Conference

∘P8

IX. Capital Adequacy Ratio

《Before correction》

	Mar. 2009	Sep. 2009	Mar. 2010	(Provisional)
		<u>(A)</u>	(B)	(B)-(A)
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)	13.45%	<u>13.10%</u>	13.81%	+0.36%
Tier I ratio	9.92%	9.73%	10.20%	0.28%
Deferred tax asset ratio *1	14.86%	14.19%	11.90%	-2.96%
Total qualifying capital (RHD consolidated)	2,818.5	2,676.4	2,814.0	(4.5)
Tier I	2,078.8	1,988.6	2,078.6	(0.1)
Tier II	771.4	714.8	<u>752.0</u>	<u>(19.3)</u>
Deduction	31.6	27.0	16.7	(14.8)
Risk-weighted assets (RHD consolidated)	20,944.8	<u>20,430.2</u>	<u>20,364.1</u>	<u>(580.7)</u>
Total required capital (RHD consolidated)*2	1,675.5	<u>1,634.4</u>	<u>1,629.1</u>	<u>(46.4)</u>
<reference></reference>				
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (BIS International Standard) *3	13.65%	<u>13.51%</u>	<u>14.20%</u>	<u>+0.55%</u>
Tier I ratio	9.86%	9.62%	<u>10.09%</u>	<u>+0.23%</u>
		Resona Consolidated	Saitama Resona Non-consolidated	Kinki Osaka Consolidated
Capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)		11.03%	11.09%	10.71%
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		+1.04%	+0.55%	+1.04%
Tier I ratio		7.42%	6.74%	6.32%
Total qualifying capital		1,594.7	425.1	182.3
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		106.6	30.4	4.0
Tier I		1,072.8	258.5	107.6
Tier II		563.1	177.2	75.6
Deduction		41.2	10.6	0.8
Risk-weighted assets		14,446.9	3,831.8	1,701.9
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		(448.5)	90.5	(141.2)
Total required capital *2		1,155.7	306.5	68.0

(Note 3)

The reported figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008, which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital as well as the calculation of Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. As of March 31, 2010, the consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying this special treatment would be 14.18% and 10.09%, respectively.

	Mar. 2009	Sep. 2009	Mar. 2010	(Provisional)
	<u>(A)</u>		(B)	(B)-(A)
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)	13.45%	<u>13.09%</u>	13.81%	+0.36%
Tier I ratio	9.92%	9.73%	10.20%	+0.28%
Deferred tax asset ratio *1	14.86%	14.19%	11.90%	-2.96%
Total qualifying capital (RHD consolidated)	2,818.5	2,676.4	2,814.0	(4.5)
Tier I	2,078.8	1,988.6	2,078.6	(0.1)
Tier II	771.4	714.8	<u>752.1</u>	<u>(19.2)</u>
Deduction	31.6	27.0	16.7	(14.8)
Risk-weighted assets (RHD consolidated)	20,944.8	20,437.2	<u>20,371.4</u>	<u>(573.4)</u>
Total required capital (RHD consolidated)*2	1,675.5	<u>1,634.9</u>	<u>1,629.7</u>	<u>(45.8)</u>
<reference></reference>				
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (BIS International Standard) *3	13.65%	<u>13.50%</u>	<u>14.19%</u>	+0.54%
Tier I ratio	9.86%	9.62%	<u>10.08%</u>	+0.22%
		Resona	Saitama Resona	Kinki Osaka
		Consolidated	Non-consolidated	Consolidated
Capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)		11.03%	11.09%	10.71%
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		+1.04%	+0.55%	+1.04%
Tier I ratio		7.42%	6.74%	6.32%
Total qualifying capital		1,594.7	425.1	182.3
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		106.6	30.4	4.0
Tier I		1,072.8	258.5	107.6
Tier II		563.1	177.2	75.6
Deduction		41.2	10.6	0.8
Risk-weighted assets		14,454.1	3,831.8	1,701.9
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		(441.2)	90.5	(141.2)
Total required capital *2		1,156.3	306.5	68.0

(Note 3):

The reported figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008, which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital as well as the calculation of Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. As of March 31, 2010, the consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying this special treatment would be 14.17% and <a href="https://doi.org/10.08%, respectively.

3. Financial Results Report for Fiscal Year 2009 (Reference Materials)

∘**P**9

- 9. Capital Adequacy ratio (preliminary)
- (1) Consolidated Capital Adequacy ratio (BIS Japanese Domestic Standard)

《Before correction》

<Consolidated>

(Billions of yen/%)

		End of Mar. 2010 (A) (A)-(B)		End of Mar. 2009 (B)
Capital adequacy ratio		13.81	0.36	13.45
Tier I ratio		10.20	0.28	9.92
Total qualifying capital		2,814.0	(4.5)	2,818.5
	Tier I	2,078.6	(0.1)	2,078.8
Tier II Deductions		<u>752.0</u>	(19.3)	771.4
		16.7	(14.8)	31.6
Risk weighted assets		20,364.1	(580.7)	20,944.8
Tot	al required capital	<u>1,629.1</u>	(46.4)	1,675.5

Reference

The consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards are as follows.*1

Capital adequacy ratio $\underline{14.20\%}$ Tier I ratio $\underline{10.09\%}$

*2. The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 14.18% and 10.09%, respectively.

<Consolidated>

(Billions of yen/%)

		End of Mar.		End of Mar.
		2010 (A)	(A)-(B)	2009 (B)
Capital adequacy ratio		13.81	0.36	13.45
Tier I ratio		10.20	0.28	9.92
Total qualifying capital		2,814.0	(4.5)	2,818.5
	Tier I	2,078.6	(0.1)	2,078.8
Tier II	<u>752.1</u>	<u>(19.2)</u>	771.4	
Deductions		16.7	(14.8)	31.6
Risk weighted assets		<u>20,371.4</u>	<u>(573.4)</u>	20,944.8
Tot	al required capital	<u>1,629.7</u> (45.8)		1,675.5

Reference

The consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards are as follows.*1

Capital adequacy ratio $\frac{14.19\%}{10.08\%}$ Tier I ratio $\frac{10.08\%}{10.08\%}$

*2. The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 14.17% and 10.08%, respectively.

(2) Capital adequacy ratios of subsidiary banks (Japanese domestic standard)

$\langle\!\langle Before\ Correction \rangle\!\rangle$

<Non-consolidated basis of each bank>

	Res (Non-con	End of Mar.	
	End of Mar. 2010 (A) (A)-(B)		2009 (B)
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>10.95</u>	<u>1.08</u>	9.87
Tier I ratio	<u>7.25</u> <u>1.06</u>		6.19
Total qualifying capital	1,573.1	108.9	1,464.1
Tier I	1,041.1	122.9	918.2
Tier II	<u>562.1</u>	(28.3)	590.5
Deductions	30.2	(14.3)	44.5
Risk weighted assets	14,361.1	(462.6)	14,823.8
Total required capital	<u>1,148.8</u>	(37.0)	1,185.9

<Consolidated basis of each bank>

		Resona (Consolidated) End of Mar. 2010 (A) (A)-(B)		
Capital adequacy ratio	11.03	1.04	9.99	
Tier I ratio	7.42	7.42 1.07		
Total qualifying capital	1,594.7	106.6	1,488.1	
Tier I	1,072.8	125.5	947.3	
Tier II	563.1	(28.2)	591.4	
Deductions	41.2	(9.3)	50.5	
Risk weighted assets	14,446.9	(448.5)	14,895.4	
Total required capital	<u>1,155.7</u>	(35.8)	1,191.6	

<Non-consolidated basis of each bank>

		Res (Non-con	End of Mar.	
		End of Mar. 2010 (A) (A)-(B)		2009 (B)
Capital adequacy ratio		<u>10.94</u>	<u>1.07</u>	9.87
Tier I ratio		<u>7.24</u> <u>1.05</u>		6.19
То	tal qualifying capital	1,573.1	108.9	1,464.1
	Tier I	1,041.1	122.9	918.2
	Tier II	562.2	(28.2)	590.5
	Deductions	30.2	(14.3)	44.5
Ris	sk weighted assets	14,368.4	(455.4)	14,823.8
То	tal required capital	<u>1,149.4</u>	(36.4)	1,185.9

<Consolidated basis of each bank>

		Res (Conso	End of Mar.	
		End of Mar. (A)-(B)		2009 (B)
Capital adequacy ratio		11.03	1.04	9.99
Tier I ratio		7.42	1.07	6.35
To	tal qualifying capital	1,594.7	106.6	1,488.1
	Tier I	1,072.8	125.5	947.3
	Tier II	563.1	(28.2)	591.4
Deductions		41.2	(9.3)	50.5
Ris	k weighted assets	14,454.1	(441.2)	14,895.4
To	tal required capital	<u>1,156.3</u>	(35.3)	1,191.6

4. Capital Adequacy Ratio as of December 31, 2009 (Additional Disclosure)

oP1

Consolidated capital adequacy ratio of Resona Holdings, Inc. (Japanese Domestic Standard) «Before correction»

(Amount in billions of yen)

	December 31,	September 30,	CAR forecast
	2009	2009	Mar. 31, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio Tier I ratio	14.03% 10.50%	13.10% 9.73%	About 13% range
Total qualifying capital	2,811.8	2,676.4	
Tier I capital	2,105.8	1,988.6	
Total required capital	1,603.0	<u>1,634.4</u>	

Resona Holdings' consolidated capital adequacy ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards is as follows. *1

December 31, 2009

Capital adequacy ratio 14.39%
Tier I ratio 10.40%

《After correction》

(Amount in billions of yen)

	December 31,	September 30,	CAR forecast
	2009	2009	Mar. 31, 2010
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>14.02%</u>	13.09%	About 13% range
Tier I ratio	10.50%	9.73%	
Total qualifying capital	2,811.8	2,676.4	
Tier I capital	2,105.8	1,988.6	
Total required capital	1,603.6	1,634.9	

 $Resona\ Holdings' consolidated\ capital\ adequacy\ ratio\ calculated\ based\ on\ the\ BIS\ international\ standards\ is\ as\ follows.\ {}^{\star}1$

December 31, 2009

Capital adequacy ratio 14.38% Tier I ratio 10.39%

^{*1.} The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Articale 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 14.37% and 10.40%, respectively.

^{*1.} The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Articale 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 14.36% and 10.39%, respectively.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \circP2\\ Reference: Capital adequacy ratios of subsidiary banks (Japanese Domestic Standard)\\ $\langle Before \ correction \rangle $\end{tabular}$

(Amount in billions of yen)

	Non-consolic	dated basis	Consolidated basis			
[Resona Bank, Ltd.]	December 31,	September 30,	December 31,	September 30,	CAR forecast	
	2009	2009	2009	2009	Mar. 31, 2010	
Capital adequacy ratio	11.05%	10.58%	11.15%	10.68%	About 10% range (Consolidated)	
Tier I ratio	7.50%	7.14%	7.65%	7.30%		
Total qualifying capital	<u>1,563.8</u>	1,519.5	1,583.7	1,543.7		
Tier I capital	1,061.4	1,025.8	1,087.6	1,055.6		
Total required capital	<u>1,131.3</u>	<u>1,148.8</u>	<u>1,136.0</u>	<u>1,155.5</u>		

《After correction》

(Amount in billions of yen)

_	Non-consolic	dated basis	Consolidated basis			
[Resona Bank, Ltd.]	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009	CAR forecast Mar. 31, 2010	
Capital adequacy ratio Tier I ratio	11.05% 7.50%	<u>10.57%</u> 7.14%	<u>11.14%</u> 7.65%	10.68% 7.30%	About 10% range (Consolidated)	
Total qualifying capital	1,563.9	1,519.5	1,583.7	1,543.7	(Consolidated)	
Tier I capital	1,061.4	1,025.8	1,087.6	1,055.6		
Total required capital	1,131.9	1,149.3	1,136.5	1,156.0		

5. Summary of Consolidated Interim Financial Results (September 30, 2009/Unaudited)

oP1

- 1. Financial Highlights (April 1, 2009- September 30, 2009)
- (2) Consolidated Financial Position

$\langle\!\langle Before\ correction \rangle\!\rangle$

	Total assets	Equity	Capital adequacy ratio	Equity per share	Consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese domestic standard)	(Reference) Equity per share (*)
	Million yen	Million yen	%	Yen	%	Yen
September 30, 2009	39,805,611	2,143,716	5.1	35.31	13.10	1,009.01
March 31, 2009	39,863,143	2,178,084	5.1	(303.63)	13.45	1,053.51

《After Correction》

The Concesson	Total assets	Equity	Capital adequacy ratio	Equity per share	Consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese domestic standard)	(Reference) Equity per share (*)
	Million yen	Million yen	%	Yen	%	Yen
September 30, 2009	39,805,611	2,143,716	5.1	35.31	13.09	1,009.01
March 31, 2009	39,863,143	2,178,084	5.1	(303.63)	13.45	1,053.51

6. Financial Results for First Half of FY 2009 Reference Materials for Press Conference

oP1

I . Financial Highlights for 1st Half of FY2009

From 18 th row	
《Before correction》	《After correction》
*Capital adequacy ratio as of Sept. 30, 2009: 13.10%; Tier 1 ratio: 9.73% (preliminary ratios)	*Capital adequacy ratio as of Sept. 30, 2009: 13.09%; Tier 1 ratio: 9.73% (preliminary ratios)
1511070, Tier I Iddo. 711370 (premimary Iddios)	<u> </u>

∘P8

IX. Capital Adequacy Ratio

《Before correction》

	Sep. '08	Mar. '09	Sep. '09	(preliminary)
		(A)	(B)	(B)-(A)
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)	14.84%	13.45%	<u>13.10%</u>	<u>(0.35%)</u>
Tier I ratio	10.92%	9.92%	9.73%	(0.19%)
Deferred tax asset ratio *1	14.62%	14.86%	14.19%	(0.67%)
Total qualifying capital (RHD consolidated)	3,188.5	2,818.5	2,676.4	(142.1)
Tier I	2,346.7	2,078.8	1,988.6	(90.1)
Tier II	879.7	771.4	714.8	(56.5)
Deduction	37.9	31.6	27.0	(4.5)
Risk-weighted assets (RHD consolidated)	21,479.0	20,944.8	20,430.2	<u>(514.6)</u>
Total required capital (RHD consolidated)*2	1,718.3	1,675.5	<u>1,634.4</u>	<u>(41.1)</u>
<reference></reference>				
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (BIS International Standard) *3	15.14%	13.65%	<u>13.51%</u>	<u>(0.14%)</u>
Tier I ratio	10.81%	9.86%	9.62%	(0.24%)
		Resona	Saitama Resona	Kinki Osaka
		Consolidated	Non-consolidated	Consolidated
Capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)		10.68%	10.78%	10.08%
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		0.69%	0.24%	0.41%
Tier I ratio		7.30%	6.42%	5.83%
Total qualifying capital		1,543.7	412.7	180.6
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		55.6	18.0	2.3
Tier I		1,055.6	245.8	104.5
Tier II		535.8	177.2	76.1
Deduction		47.7	10.2	0.0
Risk-weighted assets		14,443.8	3,827.5	1,790.7
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		<u>(451.5)</u>	86.2	(52.3)
Total required capital *2		<u>1,155.5</u>	306.2	71.6
Change from Mar. 31, 2009		(36.1)	6.8	(2.0)

(Note 3):

The reported figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008, which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital as well as the calculation of Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. As of September 30, 2009, the consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying this special treatment would be 13.48% and 9.62%, respectively.

	Sep. '08	Mar. '09	Sep. '09	(preliminary)
		(A)	(B)	(B)-(A)
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)	14.84%	13.45%	<u>13.09%</u>	<u>(0.36%)</u>
Tier I ratio	10.92%	9.92%	9.73%	(0.19%)
Deferred tax asset ratio *1	14.62%	14.86%	14.19%	(0.67%)
Total qualifying capital (RHD consolidated)	3,188.5	2,818.5	2,676.4	(142.1)
Tier I	2,346.7	2,078.8	1,988.6	(90.1)
Tier II	879.7	771.4	714.8	(56.5)
Deduction	37.9	31.6	27.0	(4.5)
Risk-weighted assets (RHD consolidated)	21,479.0	20,944.8	20,437.2	(507.6)
Total required capital (RHD consolidated)*2	1,718.3	1,675.5	<u>1,634.9</u>	(40.6)
<reference></reference>				
RHD consolidated capital adequacy ratio (BIS International Standard) *3	15.14%	13.65%	<u>13.50%</u>	<u>(0.15%)</u>
Tier I ratio	10.81%	9.86%	9.62%	(0.24%)
		Resona Consolidated	Saitama Resona Non-consolidated	Kinki Osaka
Capital adequacy ratio (Japanese Domestic Standard)		Resona Consolidated 10.68%		Kinki Osaka Consolidated
		Consolidated	Resona Non-consolidated	Consolidated
(Japanese Domestic Standard)		Consolidated 10.68%	Resona Non-consolidated	Consolidated
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69%	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24%	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41%
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30%	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42%	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83%
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital Change from Mar. 31, 2009		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7 55.6	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7 18.0	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6 2.3
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7 55.6 1,055.6	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7 18.0 245.8	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6 2.3 104.5
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I Tier II		10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7 55.6 1,055.6 535.8	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7 18.0 245.8 177.2	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6 2.3 104.5 76.1
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I Tier II Deduction		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7 55.6 1,055.6 535.8 47.7	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7 18.0 245.8 177.2 10.2	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6 2.3 104.5 76.1 0.0
(Japanese Domestic Standard) Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I ratio Total qualifying capital Change from Mar. 31, 2009 Tier I Tier II Deduction Risk-weighted assets		Consolidated 10.68% 0.69% 7.30% 1,543.7 55.6 1,055.6 535.8 47.7 14,450.8	Resona Non-consolidated 10.78% 0.24% 6.42% 412.7 18.0 245.8 177.2 10.2 3,827.5	Consolidated 10.08% 0.41% 5.83% 180.6 2.3 104.5 76.1 0.0 1,790.7

(Note 3):
The reported figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008, which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital as well as the calculation of Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. As of September 30, 2009, the consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying this special treatment would be 13.47% and 9.62%, respectively.

7. Financial Results Report for the first half of FY 2009 (Reference Materials)

oP13

- 9. Capital adequacy ratio (preliminary)
- (1) Consolidated capital adequacy ratio(Japanese Domestic Standard)

《Before correction》

<Consolidated>

(Billions of yen/%)

		End of Sep.		End of Mar.
		2009 (A)	(A)-(B)	2009 (B)
Cap	oital adequacy ratio	<u>13.10</u>	<u>(0.35)</u>	13.45
	Tier I ratio	9.73	(0.19)	9.92
Total qualifying capital		2,676.4	(142.1)	2,818.5
	Tier I	1,988.6	(90.1)	2,078.8
	Tier II	714.8	(56.5)	771.4
	Deductions	27.0	(4.5)	31.6
Risk weighted assets		20,430.2	<u>(514.6)</u>	20,944.8
Total required capital		<u>1,634.4</u>	<u>(41.1)</u>	1,675.5

Reference

The consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards are as follows.*1

Capital adequacy ratio 13.51% Tier I ratio 9.62%

*1. The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 13.48% and 9.62%, respectively.

<Consolidated>

(Billions of yen/%)

		End of Sep.		End of Mar.
		2009 (A)	(A)-(B)	2009 (B)
Cap	oital adequacy ratio	<u>13.09</u>	(0.36)	13.45
	Tier I ratio	9.73	(0.19)	9.92
Total qualifying capital		2,676.4	(142.1)	2,818.5
	Tier I	1,988.6	(90.1)	2,078.8
	Tier II	714.8	(56.5)	771.4
	Deductions	27.0	(4.5)	31.6
Risk weighted assets		20,437.2	(507.6)	20,944.8
Total required capital		<u>1,634.9</u>	(40.6)	1,675.5

Reference

The consolidated capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the BIS international standards are as follows.*1

Capital adequacy ratio 13.50% Tier I ratio 9.62%

*1. The aforementioned figures are calculated based on "partial relaxation of capital adequacy requirement for banks, etc." under the Article 2, Paragraph 2 of the FSA Notification No. 79 of 2008 which allows financial institutions not to reflect valuation loss on national government bonds and similar securities in their Tier I capital while calculating their Tier II capital without reflecting valuation gain on such securities. The capital adequacy ratio and Tier I ratio calculated based on the former criteria without applying the aforesaid special treatment would be 13.47% and 9.62%, respectively.

(2) Capital adequacy ratios of subsidiary banks (Japanese domestic standard) $\langle\!\langle Before\ correction\rangle\!\rangle$

<Non-consolidated basis of each bank>

		Res (Non-con	End of Mar.	
		End of Sep. (A)-(B)		2009 (B)
Ca	oital adequacy ratio	<u>10.58</u>	<u>0.71</u>	9.87
	Tier I ratio	7.14	0.95	6.19
Total qualifying capital		1,519.5	<u>55.3</u>	1,464.1
	Tier I	1,025.8	107.6	918.2
	Tier II	534.7	(55.7)	590.5
	Deductions	41.0	(3.5)	44.5
Risk weighted assets		14,360.2	(463.6)	14,823.8
Total required capital		<u>1,148.8</u>	(37.0)	1,185.9

<Consolidated basis of each bank>

		Res (Conso	End of Mar.	
		End of Sep. (A)-(B)		2009 (B)
Capital adec	quacy ratio	10.68	0.69	9.99
Tier I rat	io	7.30	0.95	6.35
Total qualifying capital		1,543.7	55.6	1,488.1
Tier I		1,055.6	108.3	947.3
Tier II		535.8	(55.5)	591.4
Deduction	ons	47.7	(2.8)	50.5
Risk weighted assets		14,443.8	<u>(451.5)</u>	14,895.4
Total required capital		<u>1,155.5</u>	(36.1)	1,191.6

<Non-consolidated basis of each bank>

	Res (Non-con	End of Mar. 2009 (B)	
	End of Sep. 2009 (A)	End of Sep. (A)-(B)	
Capital adequacy ratio	<u>10.57</u>	<u>0.70</u>	9.87
Tier I ratio	7.14	0.95	6.19
Total qualifying capital	1,519.5	<u>55.4</u>	1,464.1
Tier I	1,025.8	107.6	918.2
Tier II	534.7	(55.7)	590.5
Deductions	41.0	(3.5)	44.5
Risk weighted assets	14,367.2	(456.6)	14,823.8
Total required capital	<u>1,149.3</u>	(36.5)	1,185.9

<Consolidated basis of each bank>

		Res (Conso	End of Mar.	
		End of Sep. 2009 (A)	(A)-(B)	2009 (B)
Cap	oital adequacy ratio	10.68	0.69	9.99
	Tier I ratio	7.30	0.95	6.35
Tot	al qualifying capital	1,543.7	55.6	1,488.1
	Tier I	1,055.6	108.3	947.3
	Tier II	535.8	(55.5)	591.4
	Deductions	47.7	(2.8)	50.5
Risk weighted assets		14,450.8	(444.6)	14,895.4
Total required capital		<u>1,156.0</u>	(35.5)	1,191.6

(For your reference)

Following materials disclosed in the past will also have an effect by the corrections set forth in this release.

O Annual Report 2010

Fiscal Year 2009

O Web site

Investor Relations (Financial Information, IR Information).